

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/478,822 01/06/00 HAMONT .,Ï Army-104 **EXAMINER** HM22/1130

Nash & Titus LLC 3415 Brookeville Rd Suite 1000 Brookeville MD 20833

CRIARES, T **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

1617 DATE MAILED:

11/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/478,822

Applic t(s)

ŮΔ

VAN HAMONT ET AL

Examiner

Theodore J. Criares

Group Art Unit 1617



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 10, 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	-
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 4	tters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for reserve 100 to 100
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	
	is/are allowed
	is/are rejected
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to
☐ Claims are	Subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers	cospect to receive of closticit requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	-n-948
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	д аррголод 🗀 аваррголец.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received.	,
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
\square received in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	,
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	_
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING	G PAGES

Art Unit: 1617

CLAIMS 1-27 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicant's papers filed August 10, 2000 have been received and entered in the file.

The applicant's argument that the declaration is correct is persuasive and accepted. The rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admissions.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant admits at column 2, lines 16-34 that there is a process for preparing PLGA microcapsules involving acetonitrile, mineral oil and heptane is known to the art. It is to be noted that applicant has not supplied the patent office with an Information Disclosure which is now requested. On its face the claims are obviated under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The temperature to be utilized and stabilizer would have been obvious to the skilled artisan.

The product of claims 23 and 24 formed would not vary from that formed by the admitted prior art.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Criares whose telephone number is (703) 308-4607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7924.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

November 28, 2000

PRIMARY EXAMINER